Fractal Models of Natural Phenomena

Dr. F. K. "Doc Mojo"

Musgrave

CEO/CTO

Pandromeda, Inc.

Fractals What is a Fractal?

- A complex object
- The complexity of which derives from self-similarity
- Or the repetition of form over a (finite) range of scales

"Bigger swirls have smaller swirls that feed on their velocity, and smaller swirls have smaller swirls and so on, to viscosity"

Fractals Fractal dimension

- Generalization of familiar integer-valued dimension
- Fractal dimension is real-valued, e.g., 3.3
- Large value after decimal point ⇒ rough surface
- Small value after decimal point ⇒ smoother surface
- IFractal dimension is not mathematically well-defined
- Can be used entirely subjectively

Fractals Dilation symmetry

• The easiest way to think of fractals:

Dilation symmetry

Invariance under change of scale (zooming in and out)

• Symmetry may be exact or statistical

Exact self-similarity: Koch snowflake

Statistical self-similarity: terrains, clouds

Fractals Deterministic vs. random fractals

Deterministic fractals

Koch snowflake

Mandelbrot set

Random fractals

Iterated function systems

L-systems

Fractional Brownian motion (fBm)

Fractals Complexity in Nature

- Nature is complex
- Fractals capture some—but not all—of that complexity
- Examples of fractals:

Trees

Mountains

Turbulence: clouds, fire, smoke, astronomical jets

• Counterexample:

A battered old tennis shoe

Fractional Brownian Motion (fBm) What is it?

- Generalization of *Brownian motion*:

 Integral of progress on a random walk
- •IfBm is characterized by its power spectrum Brownian motion has $1/f^2$ power spectrum fBm has $1/f^\beta$ power spectrum, $1.0 \le \beta \le 3.0$
- IJust think of β as controlling roughness of the terrain
- For math, see Voss & Saupe in "The Science of Fractal Images"

Fractional Brownian Motion (fBm)

• Basis function The key variables

The shape that is repeated over a range of scales

• I Spectral exponent:

Determines fractal dimension, or roughness of terrain

• [Lacunarity:

The gap between successive scales

• Octaves:

The number of scales of self similarity

Fractional Brownian Motion (fBm)

- Should have range [-1.0 ! . 1.0] ction

 So that integral remains zero

 Expected value remains zero
- Shape is very important
 Shape clearly shows through in fractal sum
 (At lacunarity of 2.0)
- Can be literally anything!

 Sparse convolution (wavelets) gives maximum flexibility

 But is very expensive

 (See Peachey in "Textures and Modelling: A Procedural Approach")

Fractional Brownian Motion (fBm)

- Sine wave in Fourier synthesis ction

 Mathematically pure: each frequency is defined exactly

 Sine is periodic, so all finite sums of it are also periodic
- Triangle wave in polygon subdivision

 Piecewise linear interpolation

 Creases and sharp peaks
- Perlin noise

 Piecewise cubic interpolation

 Nice, aperidoic sine-wave substitute
- Others

Voronoi (see Worley, SIGGRAPH 96)
See list of basis functions in MojoWorld in CAL

Fractional Brownian Motion (fBm)

- Batch algorithms basis function
 Fourier synthesis
 Polygon subdivision
- Point-evaulated
 Perlin noise, Voronoi, sparse convolution
 These are the so-called "procedural" methods
- Infinite support
 Sine waves
 Procedural noises
- Finite support

 Polygon subdivision

 Wavelets

Fractional Brownian Motion (fBm)

The spectral exponent

- Determines the fractal dimension
- Or the roughness of our terrain
- Can be used correctly or incorrectly
- But you get a fractal nonetheless

 See the course notes for the math

 And the literature for unexpected complications
- But don't worry—use it qualitatively and ignore the math!

Fractional Brownian Motion (fBm)

- The gap between frequencies in spectral summation
- IVirtually always set to 2.0 (hence "octaves")
- ■ May want to use 2.0 ± ~0.1
 To avoid artifacts in lattice-based noises
 As with value & gradient Perlin noises
- Using values << 2.0

 Slower: takes more octaves to get fine details

 Gains little, visually
- Using values >> 2.0

 Faster: takes less octaves to get fine details

 But discrete frequencies can show through

Fractional Brownian Motion (fBm)

- Octaves are only "octaves" when lacunarity is 2.0
- Octaves = detail
- Can be driven by Nyquist limit

 To antialias by clamping

 As in QAEB tracing (see "Textures and Modelling")

 Yields pixel-sized detail everywhere

Fractal Terrain Models Different kinds

• Fourier:

The most mathematically "pure" Slow and periodic

• Polygon subdivision:

Easiest to implement, but sports the worst artifacts Triangle, square, nested, unnested, semi-nested (see Miller, SIGGRAPH 86, and "The Science of Fractal Images")

• | Point-evaluated / procedural:

Can be the slowest, depending on basis function Most flexible and, generally, best-looking

Fractal Terrain Models Point-evaluated or procedural

• Perlin noise fBm

Generalization of Perlin's "chaos" function
(See Perlin, *An Image Synthesizer*, SIGGRAPH 85)

• General procedural fBm

Same as above

But using Voronoi noise, sparse convolution, etc.

• Domain-distorted procedural fBm

Add a vector-valued function to the point, before evaluation

Shmushes the resulting fractal around

Fractal Terrain Models Point-evaluated or procedural

The basic algorithm:

- 1. Start with lowest frequency (largest scale of basis)
- 2. Double the frequency
- 3. Scale amplitude down, according to spectral exponent
- 4. Add in new, scaled frequency
- 5. Goto 2.

Code for Procedural fBm

```
fBm( Vector point,
    NoiseFunction basis(),
     real exponent, real lacunarity,
    integer octaves )
  real value = 0.0, amplitude = 1.0;
  for ( i=0; i<octaves; i++ ) {
  value += basis(point) * amplitude;
  point *= lacunarity;
  amplitude *= exponent;
  return value;
```

Multifractals Heterogeneous terrain models

- If Bm is stationary: statistically homogeneous and isotropic
- •□Real terrain is far more complex

 Mountains rise from plains

 Peaks and valleys have different roughnesses, etc.
- •□We want to capture at least some of this

 Devising heterogeneous fBm-based fractals

 While preserving the elegance of fBm

Multifractals Three multifractal terrain models

• Stats-by-altitude

Conjecture: valleys are smoother than peaks

Model: multiply each octave (after first) by current

"altitude"

• "Pure" multiplicative multifractal

Inner loop is multiplicative, rather than additive as in fBm

Problem: converges to zero or diverges to infinity

• I Hybrid additive/multiplicative multifractal

Conjecture: valleys should be smoother at all altitudes

Model: multiply octave *i* by value of octave *i* -1; sum this

Code for Stats-by-Altitude Multifractal

```
StatsByAlt( Vector point, NoiseFunction basis(),
           real exponent, real lacunarity,
            integer octaves )
  real value, amplitude = 1.0;
  if ( octaves ) // do first octave
  value = basis( point );
  for ( i=1; i<octaves; i++ ) {
  value += value * basis(point) * amplitude;
  point *= lacunarity;
  amplitude *= exponent;
  return value;
```

Code for Multiplicative Multifractal

```
Multifractal (Vector point,
              NoiseFunction basis(),
              real exponent, real lacunarity,
              integer octaves )
  real value = 1.0, amplitude = 1.0;
  for ( i=0; i<octaves; i++ ) {
       value *= basis(point) * amplitude;
       point *= lacunarity;
      amplitude *= exponent;
  return value;
```

Code for Hybrid Multifractal

```
HybridMF( Vector point, NoiseFunction basis(),
           real exponent, real lacunarity,
           integer octaves )
{
   real value, signal, weight, amplitude = 1.0;
  if ( octaves <= 0 ) return 0.0;</pre>
  weight = value = basis( point );  // first octave
  octaves -= 1.0;
  for ( i=1; i<octaves; i++ ) {
signal = weight * basis(point) * amplitude;</pre>
  value += signal;
  weight = signal;
point *= lacunarity;
  amplitude *= exponent;
   return value;
```

Erosion

• Erosion is what shapes terrains

Bedrock is fractal; erosion works on this fractal substrate Creates context-sensitive fractals: river networks

• Diffusive erosion

Dry creep, rain splash, animal activity, etc.

Equivalent to low-pass filter—can operate very efficiently

• Fluvial erosion: running water

Rivers and glaciers are principal geomorphic agents

Very important—but too hard to implement and slow to run!

(see Musgrave et al, SIGGRAPH 89, and geology literature)

Conclusions

- Fractal models capture complexity, with simplicity
- Amplification: wealth of detail from simple model
- Height field terrain models don't cut it
- If Bm doesn't cut it
- Multifractal models are a little better
- Dilation symmetry rocks!
- Alas, Nature is more complex than fractal geometry